

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/035,893	Applicant(s) DJELOUAH, SALAH	
	Examiner Christopher Upton	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Anthony Niewyk.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2003

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 9, 12

Prior art documents discussed:
 Moulton

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet


Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


 CHRISTOPHER UPTON
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claim 9 to recite that the agitator is between the inlet and the outlet, as shown in the drawings, to patentably distinguish over Mourlon, which discloses the agitator as being below the outlet; and to amend claim 12 to provide antecedent basis for the pump of claim 13..